

Impact Study for Generation Interconnection Request GEN–2005–022

SPP Coordinated Planning (#GEN-2005-022)

July 2006

Summary

Pursuant to the tariff and at the request of the Southwest Power Pool (SPP), ABB Inc. Electric Systems Consulting (ABB) performed the following Impact Study to satisfy the Impact Study Agreement executed by the requesting customer and SPP for SPP Generation Interconnection request Gen-2005-022. The request for interconnection was placed with SPP in accordance SPP's Open Access Transmission Tariff, which covers new generation interconnections on SPP's transmission system.



POWER SYSTEMS DIVISION GRID SYSTEMS - CONSULTING

IMPACT STUDY FOR GENERATION INTERCONNECTION REQUEST GEN-2005-022

FINAL REPORT

REPORT NO.: 2006-11326-R1.0 Issued: July 27, 2006 Revised on: July 28, 2006

ABB Inc. Power Systems Division Grid Systems - Consulting 940 Main Campus Drive Raleigh, NC 27606

Legal Notice

This document, prepared by ABB Inc., is an account of work sponsored by Southwest Power Pool (SPP). Neither SPP nor ABB Inc, nor any person or persons acting on behalf of either party: (i) makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the use of any information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights, or (ii) assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this document.

ABB Inc – Grid Systems - Consulting

Technical Report

Willie Wong

Southwest Power P	Pool	No. 2006-11326-R0	
Impact Study for Generation Interconnection request GEN-2005-022		July 27, 2006	# Pages 24
Author(s):	Reviewed by:	Approved by:	

Bill Quaintance

Executive Summary

Amit Kekare

Southwest Power Pool (SPP) has commissioned ABB Inc., to perform a Generation Interconnection Impact study for a simple cycle gas turbine power plant in Caddo County, Oklahoma with 168MW Summer Peak and 177MW Winter Peak output. This Combustion Turbine project will be interconnected into the existing Southwestern Power substation in the control area of American Electric Power West (AEPW). This plant will comprise two combustion turbine-generators. The interconnection study includes the stability analysis. The feasibility (power flow) study was not performed as a part of this study.

The objective of this study is to evaluate the impact on system stability after connecting the GEN-2005-022 to the interconnection point and its effect on the nearby transmission system and generating stations. The study is performed on two system scenarios: 2007 Winter Peak and the 2011 Summer Peak, provided by SPP.

The SPP system would be stable following all the simulated faults with the proposed GEN-2005-022 project in-service. Based on the results of stability analysis it can be concluded that the proposed GEN-2005-022 project does not adversely impact the stability of the SPP system.

The results of this analysis are based on available data and assumptions made at the time of conducting this study. If any of the data and/or assumptions made in developing the study model change, the results provided in this report may not apply.

Rev No.	Revision Description	Date	Authored by	Reviewed by	Approved by
0	Draft Report	07/27/06	Amit Kekare	Bill Quaintance	Willie Wong
1	Final Report	07/78/06	Amit Kekare	Bill Quaintance	Willie Wong
DISTRIBUTION:					
Charles Hendrix – Southwest Power Pool					



TABLE OF CONTENT

1 1	NTRODUC	TION	1
2 S	TABILITY	ANALYSIS	3
2.1	STABI	ITY ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY	3
2.2	STUDY	MODEL DEVELOPMENT	3
2.3	STUDY	RESULTS	8
3 C	ONCLUSI	ONS	13
APPE	NDIX A -	LOADFLOW AND DYNAMIC DATA FOR GEN-2005-022	14
A.1	Powe	RFLOW DATA	15
A.2	Dyna	/ICS DATA	16
Appe	ndix B -	SIMULATION PLOTS FOR STABILITY ANALYSIS	



INTRODUCTION 1

Southwest Power Pool (SPP) has commissioned ABB inc., to perform a Generation Interconnection Impact study for a simple cycle gas turbine in Caddo County, Oklahoma with 168MW Summer Peak and 177MW Winter Peak output. This Combustion Turbine project will be interconnected into the existing Southwestern Power substation in the control area of American Electric Power West (AEPW). This plant will comprise two combustion turbine-generators. The interconnection study includes stability analysis. The feasibility (power flow) study was not performed as a part of this study.

The objective of the impact study is to evaluate the impact on system stability after connecting the GEN-2005-022 to the interconnection point and its effect on the nearby transmission system and generating stations. The study is performed on two system scenarios: 2007 Winter Peak and the 2011 Summer Peak, provided by SPP. Figure 1-1 shows the Point of interconnection for the GEN-2005-022. Figure 1-2 shows the schematic diagram for the interconnection of GEN-2005-022.



Figure 1-1: GEN-2005-022 Point of Interconnection





Figure 1-2: Schematic diagram for the interconnection of GEN-2005-022



2 STABILITY ANALYSIS

In this study, ABB investigated the stability of the system for the faults in the vicinity of the proposed plant as defined by SPP. The faults involve three-phase and single-phase faults cleared by primary protection, re-closing with the fault still on, and then permanently clearing the fault with primary protection.

2.1 STABILITY ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

Using Planning Standards approved by NERC, the following stability definition was applied in the Transient Stability Analysis:

"Power system stability is defined as that condition in which the differences of the angular positions of synchronous machine rotors become constant following an aperiodic system disturbance."

Stability analysis was performed using Siemens-PTI's PSS/E dynamics program V29. Disturbances such as three-phase and single-phase line faults were simulated for the specified durations, including re-closing, and the synchronous machine rotor angles were monitored to make sure they maintained synchronism following the fault removal.

Single-phase line faults were simulated with the standard method of applying fault impedance to the positive sequence network to represent the effect of the negative and zero sequence networks on the positive sequence network. The fault impedance was computed to give a positive sequence voltage at the fault location of approximately 60% of pre-fault voltage, which is a typical value.

2.2 STUDY MODEL DEVELOPMENT

The study model consists of power flow cases and dynamics databases, developed as follows.

Power Flow Case

SPP provided two (2) Pre-project PSS/E power flow cases called "*gen05-22_11sp_base.sav*" representing the Summer Peak conditions of the SPP system for the year 2011 and the "*gen05-22_07wp_base.sav*" representing the Winter Peak conditions of the SPP system for the year 2007.

The proposed GEN-2005-022 project is comprised of two combustion turbinegenerators. The two units will be connected to 138kV Southwest station by a 13.8/138kV step-up transformer. The proposed project was added to the Pre-project cases and the generation was dispatched against the T.P.S units at Tulsa (in AEPW). See Table 2-1 for details. Two Powerflow cases with GEN-2005-022 were established:

SP011-GEN-2005-022.SAV WP07-GEN-2005-022.SAV



Figure 2-1 and Figure 2-2 shows the Powerflow diagram for the local area of Southwest station with GEN-2005-022 in-service (Summer Peak 2011 and Winter Peak 2007 system conditions).

System condition	MW	Location	Point of Interconnection	Sink
Summer Peak	168	Caddo Co., OK	Southwest Substation 138kV	T.P.S Units at Tulsa (AEPW)
Winter Peak	177	Caddo Co., OK	Southwest Substation 138kV	T.P.S Units at Tulsa (AEPW)

|--|

Stability Database

SPP provided the stability database in the form of a PSS/E dynamic dyr data file "*gen05-22_11sp_base.dyr*" to model the Summer Peak stability dynamics database for 2011 and "*gen05-22_07wp_base.dyr*" to model the Winter Peak stability dynamics database for the year 2007. Along with the above-mentioned files, idev and batch files were also provided to compile and link user-written models. The provided files required the use of PSS/E version 29.

The stability data for GEN-2005-022 was appended to the Pre-GEN-2005-022 snapshot. The Powerflow and stability model representation for GEN-2005-022 are included in Appendix A.

Table 2-2 lists the disturbances simulated for stability analysis. All transmission lines were assumed to have re-closing enabled. All the faults were simulated for 10seconds.

FAULT	FAULT DESCRIPTION		
FLT_1_3PH	a. Apply Fault at Southwest Station (54140).		
	b. Clear Fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from Southwest Station to Washita		
	c. Wait 360 cycles, and then re-close the line in (b) into the fault.		
	d. Leave fault on for 3.5 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.		
FLT_2_1PH	SLG fault same as FLT_1_3PH		
FLT_3_3PH	a. Apply fault at the Hobart Jct bus (54126).		
	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from Hobert Jct to Southwest Station		
	c. Wait 8 cycles, and then re-close the line in (b) into the fault.		
	d. Leave fault on for 3.5 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.		
FLT_4_1PH	SLG fault same as FLT_3_3PH		
FLT_5_3PH	a. Apply fault at the Southwester Station bus (54140).		
	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from Southwest Station to Anadarko		
	c. Wait 30 cycles, and then re-close line in (b) into the fault.		
	d. Leave fault on for 3.5 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.		
FLT_6_1PH	SLG same as FLT_5_3PH		
FLT_7_3PH	a. Apply fault at Norge Road (54146).		
	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from Southwest Station to Norge Rd.		
	c. Wait 8 cycles, and then re-close lines in (b) into the fault.		
	d. Leave fault on for 3.5 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.		
FLT_8_1PH	SLG same as FLT_7_3PH		

Table 2-2: List of Faults for Stability Analysis



FAULT	FAULT DESCRIPTION
FLT_9_3PH	a. Apply fault at the Fletcher tap (54086).
	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from Fletcher Tap to Southwest Station
	c. Wait 8 cycles, and then re-close the line in (b) and remove fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 3.5 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
FLT_10_1PH	SLG same as FLT_9_3PH
FLT_11_3PH	a. Apply fault at the Southwestern bus (54140).
	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing line from Southwest Station to Elgin Jct
	c. Wait 300 cycles, and then re-close line in (b) into the fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 3.5 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
FLT_12_1PH	SLG same as FLT_11_3PH
FLT_13_3PH	a. Apply fault at the Cornville (54112).
	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing line from Cornville to Southwest Station
	c. Wait 8 cycles, and then re-close line in (b) into the fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 3.5 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
FLT_14_1PH	SLG same as FLT_13PH
FLT_15_3PH	a. Apply fault at the Anadarko bus (55814).
	b. Clear fault after 5 cycles by removing the line from Anadarko to Washita.
	c. Wait 20 cycles, and then re-close the line in (b) into the fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 5 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
FLT_16_1PH	SLG same as FLT_15_3PH
FLT_17_3PH	a. Apply fault at the Southwest station (54140)
	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing line from Southwest Station to Cornville
	c. Wait 8 cycles, and then re-close line in (b) into the fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 3.5 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
FLT_18_3PH	a. Apply fault at the Southwest station (54140)
	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from Southwest Station to Fletcher Tap
	c. Wait 8 cycles, and then re-close the line in (b) and remove fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 3.5 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
FLT_19_3PH	a. Apply fault at the Southwest Station (54140).
	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from southwest Station to Hobert Jct
	c. Wait 8 cycles, and then re-close the line in (b) into the fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 3.5 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
FLT_20_3PH	a. Apply fault at the Southwest Station (54140).
	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from Southwest Station to Norge road
	c. Wait 8 cycles, and then re-close lines in (b) into the fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 3.5 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.





Figure 2-1: Powerflow diagram for GEN-2005-022 (Summer Peak 2011)





Figure 2-2: Powerflow diagram for GEN-2005-022 (Winter Peak 2007)



2.3 STUDY RESULTS

The results for all the disturbances simulated are summarized in Table 2-3.

The plots for all the simulated faults are included in Appendix B.

The results of the simulation indicate that the SPP system would be stable following all the simulated faults in both, Summer Peak and Winter Peak, system conditions.

The Blue canyon Windfarm (73.9MW) was tripped due to undervoltage following FLT_{15} apply in Summer Peak 2011 system conditions. The same fault was repeated on the case *without* GEN-2005-022. The Blue canyon windfarm tripped due to undervoltage in the Pre-GEN-2002-022 case following FLT_{15} apply.

GEN-2005-022 Exciter Response

The exciter response of GEN-2005-022 was unrealistically slow (see Figure 2-3). The power system stabilizer (PSS) response does not seem to be correct, and the PSS probably needs to be tuned. Hence, '*FLT_20_3PH*' was repeated by disabling the PSS (see Figure 2-4). Even after disabling the PSS the response of the exciter was not fast enough. On further investigation it was found that the response ratio of the exciter is 0.60. For the modern excitation systems this ratio should be higher than 1.00. Brushless exciters need a lot of forcing capability because they have to deal with the time constant of the exciter in addition to that of the generator. The forcing capability is limited by Va_{max} = 7.21. To exercise the maximum Vr_{max} = 29.08 value, Kb should be much higher than 1.00. Hence, Kb was set to 5.00 (~29.08/7.21). To keep the same overall gain, Ka was reduced by a factor of 5 to 200. '*FLT_20_3PH*' was repeated with adjusted Ka and Kb values and PSS disabled, resulting in a much faster and more realistic exciter response. (see Figure 2-5). The response ratio for the exciter with Kb=5.00 was 4.25. The exciter parameters of GEN-2005-022 should be revalidated before interconnection for its proper response.



FAULT	Summer Peak 2011	Winter Peak 2007
FLT_1_3PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_2_1PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_3_3PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_4_1PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_5_3PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_6_1PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_7_3PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_8_1PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_9_3PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_10_1PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_11_3PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_12_1PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_13_3PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_14_1PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_15_3PH	STABLE**	STABLE
FLT_16_1PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_17_3PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_18_3PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_19_3PH	STABLE	STABLE
FLT_20_3PH	STABLE	STABLE

Table 2-3: Results for Stability Analysis

Note:-

**Blue Canyon Windfarm was tripped due to undervoltage in Summer Peak 2011 case, both with and without GEN-2005-022.





Figure 2-3: GEN-2005-022 exciter response with PSS





Figure 2-4: GEN-2005-022 exciter response without PSS









3 CONCLUSIONS

The objective of this study is to evaluate the impact on system stability after connecting the GEN-2005-022 to the interconnection point and its effect on the nearby transmission system and generating stations. The study is performed on two system scenarios: 2007 Winter Peak and the 2011 Summer Peak, provided by SPP.

The SPP system would be stable following all the simulated faults with the proposed GEN-2005-022 project in-service. Based on the results of stability analysis it can be concluded that the proposed GEN-2005-022 project does not adversely impact the stability of the SPP system.

The results of this analysis are based on available data and assumptions made at the time of conducting this study. If any of the data and/or assumptions made in developing the study model change, the results provided in this report may not apply.



Appendix A - LOADFLOW AND DYNAMIC DATA FOR GEN-2005-022



A.1 POWERFLOW DATA

/ PSS/E-29.5 FRI, JUL 14 2006 9:29 0. 100 00 SPP MDWG 2006 STABILITY BASE CASE FULL-NERC B11S (1-27-2006) 2011 SUMMER PEAK: GEN-2005-022 INTERCONNECTION STUDY 0.000, 520, 204,1.03324, 5.2164, 1 90522,'GEN05-22', 13.8000,2, 0.000, 0 / END OF BUS DATA, BEGIN LOAD DATA 0 / END OF LOAD DATA, BEGIN GENERATOR DATA 90522,'1', 84.000, 13.060, 32.000, -30.000,1.02100,54140, 101.800, 0.15000, 0.00000, 0.00000, 1.00000, 1, 100.0, 86.530, 0.00000, 0.000, 1,1.0000 84.000, 13.060, 32.000, -30.000, 1.02100, 54140, 101.800, 90522,'2 ', 0.00000, 0.15000, 0.00000, 0.00000, 1.00000, 1, 100.0, 86.530, 0.000, 1,1.0000 0 / END OF GENERATOR DATA, BEGIN BRANCH DATA 0 / END OF BRANCH DATA, BEGIN TRANSFORMER DATA 90522,54140, 0,'1 ',1,2,1, 0.00000, 0.00000,2,'GEN05-02',1, 1,1.0000 0.00000, 0.12000, 120.00 1.00000, 0.000, 0.000, 200.00, 200.00, 0.00, 0, 0, 1.50000, 0.500 1.50000, 0.50000, 33, 0, 0.00000, 0.00000 0.00, 0, 0, 1.50000, 0.50000, 1.00000, 0.000 $\rm 0$ / END OF TRANSFORMER DATA, BEGIN AREA DATA 520,53715, -1311.000, 1.000, 'AEPW 0 / END OF AREA DATA, BEGIN TWO-TERMINAL DC DATA 0 / END OF TWO-TERMINAL DC DATA, BEGIN VSC DC LINE DATA $\rm 0$ / END OF VSC DC LINE DATA, BEGIN SWITCHED SHUNT DATA 0 / END OF SWITCHED SHUNT DATA, BEGIN IMPEDANCE CORRECTION DATA 0 / END OF IMPEDANCE CORRECTION DATA, BEGIN MULTI-TERMINAL DC DATA 0 / END OF MULTI-TERMINAL DC DATA, BEGIN MULTI-SECTION LINE DATA 0 / END OF MULTI-SECTION LINE DATA, BEGIN ZONE DATA 204, WESTERN ' 0 / END OF ZONE DATA, BEGIN INTER-AREA TRANSFER DATA 0 / END OF INTER-AREA TRANSFER DATA, BEGIN OWNER DATA 1,'1 . 0 / END OF OWNER DATA, BEGIN FACTS DEVICE DATA 0 / END OF FACTS DEVICE DATA



A.2 DYNAMICS DATA

PTI INTERACTIVE POWER SYSTEM SIMULATOR--PSS/E FRI, JUL 14 2006 9:32 SPP MDWG 2006 STABILITY BASE CASE FULL-NERC B11S (1-27-2006) 2011 SUMMER PEAK: - 2005 SOUTHWEST POWER POOL, INC.; RED DYN PLANT MODELS REPORT FOR ALL MODELS BUS 90522 [GEN05-2213.800] MODELS ** GENROU ** BUS X-- NAME --X BASEKV MC CONS STATES 90522 GEN05-22 13.800 1 145956-145969 55654-55659 XTRAN GENTAP MBASE ZSORCE 101.8 0.00000+J 0.15000 0.00000+J 0.00000 1.00000 T'DO T'DO T'QO T'QO H DAMP XD XQ X'D X'Q X''D XL 12.80 0.050 3.90 0.050 5.60 0.00 1.9800 1.8100 0.2080 0.3000 0.1500 0.1000 S(1.0) S(1.2) 0.1100 0.5100 ** PSS2A ** BUS X-- NAME --X BASEKV MC CONS STATES VARS ΙC ONS 90522 GEN05-22 13.800 1 145984-146000 55666-55681 10356-10359 6497-6502 IC1 REMBUS1 IC2 REMBUS2 M 1 0 3 0 5 Ν 1 TW2T6TW3TW4T7KS22.0000.0002.0000.0002.0000.178 TW1 KS3 2.000 1.000 Т8 Т9 KS1 Τ1 Т2 т3 Т4 VSTMAX VSTMIN 0.500 0.100 15.000 0.250 0.040 0.250 0.040 0.100 -0.100 ** EXAC2 ** BUS X-- NAME --X BASEKV MC CONS STATES 90522 GEN05-22 13.800 1 146018-146040 55698-55702
 TR
 TB
 TC
 KA
 TA
 VAMAX
 VAMIN
 KB
 VRMAX
 VRMIN

 0.010
 1.000
 1.000
 1000.0
 0.010
 7.210
 -7.210
 1.0
 29.1
 -29.1
 TF KC KD KE KF TΕ KL KH VT.R 1.300 4.000 0.000 0.049 1.000 0.100 0.770 1.000 9.368 E1 S(E1) E2 S(E2) 3.0440 0.0080 4.0580 0.0140 ** GAST2A ** BUS X-- NAME --X BASEKV MC CONS STATES VARS 90522 GEN05-22 13.800 1 146064-146094 55708-55720 10364-10367 Y X 7 ETD TCD TRATE T MAX MIN ECR K3 TAT. 25.00 0.000 0.050 1.00 0.040 0.200 80.00 0.12 1.20 -0.10 0.010 0.770 С ΤF KF K5 K4 ΤЗ Т4 ΤT В т5 1.00 0.05 1.00 0.40 0.000 0.200 0.800 15.00 2.500 917.0 3.30 AF1 BF1 AF2 BF2 CF2 TR K6 ТC 700.0 550.0 -0.300 1.300 0.500 1006.0 0.230 1010.0 ** GENROU ** BUS X-- NAME --X BASEKV MC CONS STATES 90522 GEN05-22 13.800 2 145970-145983 55660-55665



MBASE ZSORCE XTRAN GENTAP 101.8 0.00000+J 0.15000 0.00000+J 0.00000 1.00000 T'DO T''DO T'QO H DAMP XD XQ X'D X'Q X''D XL 12.80 0.050 3.90 0.050 5.60 0.00 1.9800 1.8100 0.2080 0.3000 0.1500 0.1000 S(1.0) S(1.2) 0.1100 0.5100 ** PSS2A ** BUS X-- NAME --X BASEKV MC CONS STATES VARS IC ONS 90522 GEN05-22 13.800 2 146001-146017 55682-55697 10360-10363 6503-6508 IC1 REMBUS1 IC2 REMBUS2 M 1 0 3 0 5 Ν 5 1 тб тw3 т7 TW1 TW2 TW4 KS2 KS3 2.000 0.000 2.000 0.000 2.000 0.178 2.000 1.000
 T9
 KS1
 T1
 T2
 T3
 T4
 VSTMAX
 VSTMIN

 0.100
 15.000
 0.250
 0.040
 0.250
 0.040
 0.100
 -0.100
 т8 0.500 ** EXAC2 ** BUS X-- NAME --X BASEKV MC CONS STATES 90522 GEN05-22 13.800 2 146041-146063 55703-55707
 TR
 TB
 TC
 KA
 TA
 VAMAX
 VAMIN
 KB
 VRMAX
 VRMIN

 0.010
 1.000
 1.000
 1000.0
 0.010
 7.210
 -7.210
 1.0
 29.1
 -29.1
 KC KD KF ΤF KE VLR TΕ KL KH 1.300 4.000 0.000 0.049 1.000 0.100 0.770 1.000 9.368 E1 S(E1) E2 S(E2) 3.0440 0.0080 4.0580 0.0140 ** GAST2A ** BUS X-- NAME --X BASEKV MC CONS STATES VARS 90522 GEN05-22 13.800 2 146095-146125 55721-55733 10368-10371 Х Y Z ETD TCD TRATE T MAX MIN ECR K3 25.00 0.000 0.050 1.00 0.040 0.200 80.00 0.12 1.20 -0.10 0.010 0.770 С TΈ KF К5 K4 ΨЗ т4 TΤ Т5 А B 1.00 0.05 1.00 0.40 0.000 0.200 0.800 15.00 2.500 917.0 3.30

 AF1
 BF1
 AF2
 BF2
 CF2
 TR
 K6
 TC

 700.0
 550.0
 -0.300
 1.300
 0.500
 1006.0
 0.230
 1010.0



Appendix B - SIMULATION PLOTS FOR STABILITY ANALYSIS

